

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,336	07/19/2001	Ray Wang	00,583A	9612	
32097	7590 05/25/2004	•	EXAMINER		
	VICH HIGH-TECH LAW GROUP, P.C.		PHAN, HUY Q		
SUITE 325 39 S. LASAL	LE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	IL 60603		2685	6	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,336	WANG, RAY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Huy Q Phan	2685	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTe, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	lulv 2001.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under		·	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) 9-31 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to t	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	. (
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	· p		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		oplication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			
application from the International Burea	·	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.4.5.	5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baker (US-6,505,046).

Regarding claim 6, Baker discloses in figure 3, a transport network location-aware interface (302 and 305) for communicating with a plurality of different types of location-aware wireless mobile devices (fig. 4, box 425) in a plurality of different locations in a specific geographic area (col. 1, lines 50-55), comprising: a first transport interface component (fig. 3, box 302) for receiving network-independent location-aware protocol messages (301) from an information repository on a wireless transport network (310), wherein the network-independent location-aware protocol messages are used to communicate with a plurality of different types of location-aware wireless mobile devices in a plurality of different locations in a specific geographic area (col. 1, lines 50-55) (col. 6, lines 1-67); a second transport interface component (305) for sending transport information from the wireless transport network via one or more wireless transport

protocols in use on the wireless transport network to the plurality of different types of location-aware wireless mobile devices (425) in a plurality of different locations in a specific geographic area (col. 1, lines 50-55), wherein the transport information includes one or more network-independent location-aware protocol messages used to communicate with a plurality of different types of location-aware wireless mobile devices (425) in a plurality of different locations in a specific geographic area (col. 1, lines 50-55) (col. 7, lines 1-50).

Regarding claim 7, Baker discloses a transport network mobile user network message interface as recited in the rejection of claim 6, Baker further discloses wherein the transport information includes a plurality of data-bits, data frames or data packets (col. 1, lines 43-55).

Regarding claim 8, Baker discloses in figure 4, a mobile device location-aware interface for a location-aware wireless mobile device (425), comprising a first location-aware interface component for receiving transport information on a location-aware wireless mobile device (425) from a wireless transport network via one or more wireless transport protocols in use on the wireless transport network (col. 1, lines 37-42), wherein the transport information includes one or more network-independent location-aware protocol messages used to communicate with a plurality of different types of location-aware wireless mobile devices in a plurality of different locations in a specific geographic area (col. 1, lines 49-55); a second location-aware interface component for

Art Unit: 2685

generating device specific information on the location-aware wireless mobile device from the one or more network-independent location-aware protocol messages in the transport information (col. 2, lines 28-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker in view of Raith et al. (US-6,477,362).

Regarding claim 1, Baker discloses in figure 4, a network-independent location-aware protocol for communicating with location-aware wireless mobile devices (425), the network-independent location-aware protocol stored as data bits in a predetermined format on a computer readable medium (420), comprising: a location-aware management message for sending and receiving management messages to and from location-aware wireless mobile devices (col. 1, lines 43-55); and a location-aware commerce message for sending and receiving commerce messages to and from location-aware wireless mobile devices (col. 2, lines 19-34); wherein the network-independent location-aware protocol messages can be simultaneously transmitted over a plurality of different types of wireless transport networks for a plurality of different

Art Unit: 2685

types of location-aware mobile devices in a plurality of different locations in a specific geographic area (col. 1, lines 37-55 and col. 8, line 60-col. 6, line 67).

But, Bake fails to expressly show a location-aware event message for sending and receiving emergency or non-emergency event messages to and from location-aware wireless mobile devices.

However in analogous art, Raith et al. teach in figures 5 and 6, a location-aware event message for sending and receiving emergency or non-emergency event messages to and from location-aware wireless mobile devices (col. 5, lines 56-67). Since, Baker and Raith et al. are related to method for location-aware wireless mobile devices; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Bake by specifically having a location-aware event message for sending and receiving emergency or non-emergency event messages to and from location-aware wireless mobile devices as taught by Raith et al. for purpose of enhancing the usability of wireless mobile devices in the network independent location-aware protocol in order to improve the quality and reliability of wireless communications service.

Regarding claim 2, Baker and Raith et al. disclose a network-independent location-aware protocol as recited in the rejection of claim 1, Baker further discloses wherein the location-aware management message includes a plurality of management message tags to request a location of a location-aware wireless mobile device (col. 3, lines 7-12), send a location identifier to a location-aware wireless mobile device or send

Art Unit: 2685

an acknowledgement to a location-aware wireless mobile device (col. 3, lines 13-19).

Regarding claim 3, Baker and Raith et al. disclose a network-independent location-aware protocol as recited in the rejection of claim 1, Raith et al. further disclose wherein the location-aware event message includes a plurality of event message tags for emergency or non-emergency event information generated for location-aware wireless mobile devices in a specific geographic area (col. 6, lines 1-41):

Regarding claim 4, Baker and Raith et al. disclose a network-independent location-aware protocol as recited in the rejection of claim 1, Baker further discloses wherein the location-aware commerce message includes a plurality of commerce message tags for commercial information including electronic-commerce or mobile-commerce for location-aware wireless mobile devices in a specific geographic area (col. 3, lines 43-50).

Regarding claim 5, Baker and Raith et al. disclose a network-independent location-aware protocol as recited in the rejection of claim 1. Raith et al. further disclose wherein the network-independent location-aware protocol is also used for communicating with wired or non-mobile wireless devices (col. 4, lines 53-58).

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 9-31 are allowed.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2685

The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowance: the prior art made of record and considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not disclose nor fairly suggest the method for providing network-independent location-aware protocol messages to location-aware mobile network devices, comprising: accepting alert information from a plurality of information sources on an information repository, wherein the information repository is in communications with the plurality of information sources via an information network, wherein the alert information is generated from emergency or non-emergency events, and wherein the alert information includes information emergency or non-emergency events for a specific geographic area; formatting the accepted alert information into a network-independent location-aware protocol message, wherein the network-independent location-aware protocol message can be sent to a plurality of different types of location-aware mobile wireless network devices in communications with the plurality of different types of transport networks via a plurality of uniform mobile user network message interfaces associated with the plurality of different types of transport networks; optionally adding additional information to the network-independent location-aware protocol message based on the specific geographic area identified in the alert information, wherein the additional information is dynamically generated from a plurality of databases associated with the information repository; forwarding the network-independent location-aware protocol message to the plurality of different types of transport networks in communications with the plurality of different types of location-aware mobile network devices located in the specific geographic area identified by the alert information, wherein the plurality of different

Art Unit: 2685

Page 8

types of transport networks forward the network-independent location-aware message to the plurality of different types of location-aware mobile network devices located in the specific geographic area identified by the alert information via the plurality of uniform mobile user network message interfaces associated with the plurality of different types of transport networks.

Conclusion

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a) Borkowski et al. (US-RE38,267) disclose a cellular network-based geographic coverage area reporting method.
 - b) Chern (US-6,609,005) discloses a method for displaying the location of wireless communication device.
 - c) Pleffer et al. (US-6,529,728) disclose a method for selectively providing information specific to a location in wireless communication system.
 - d) Carley (US-6,574,484) discloses a method for emergency service access using a mobile phone.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Q Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-9007. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

Art Unit: 2685

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Urban F Edward can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huy Phan

AU: 2685

May 11, 2004

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9